

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/818,635	03/28/2001	Boris Novak	302.246/10011225	1735
7590 10/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Mark Ungerman			CALLAHAN, PAUL E	
Fulbright & Jaw 801 Pennsylvan	orski, LLP ia Avenue, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20004			2137	
		DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/818,635	NOVAK ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Paul Callahan	2137
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION FOR THE PROVISION OF 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent in the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	8 March 2001.	
2a) <u></u>	,	This action is non-final.	•
3)[Since this application is in condition for allo		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2001 is/ar	e: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ object	cted to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in App	olication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.
Attachmen	at(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date 09242004.	6) Other:	······································

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 are pending in this application and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 contains the passage: ""...the system is a superstructure over the network..." It is unclear what is meant by "superstructure over the network."

Claim 1 contains the passage: "...a secure system authority, which is a constant part in the secure system." It is unclear what is meant by "constant part" in this context.

The Specification (page 9, para. 3) mentions the concept of a secure system authority that "may be the only constant part" of the secure data switching system. However the specification does not explain whether this means that no new system authorities may be added, or that no other part of the system may be a constant part, or that there is the possibility that other parts of the system may be constant parts along with the system authority.

Art Unit: 2137

Claim 1 contains the passage: "wherein every ciphering set is used in one known direction of the secure link..." It is unclear what is meant by "known direction."

Claims 2-17 are dependent on claim 1 and are thereby rejected on the same basis as that claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. Claims 2-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The closest prior art in the field, Turnbull et al., US 6,092,201, and Yamaguchi et al., US 5,604,807, singly and in reasonable combination with each other and the other prior art in the field, do not teach the combination of features found in the independent claim of: ciphering sets as defined by the applicant's specification used for unidirectional encryption by secure nodes; universal secure node registration via establishment of a private ciphering language between the nodes; universal connectivity between nodes; and where the secure system authority participates in

Art Unit: 2137

routing operations. The combination of features is novel and unique, and distinguishes the independent claim from the prior art.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following US Patent documents teach systems for secure networking similar to that of the applicant.

Turnbull et al.	6,092,201
Yamaguchi et al.	5,604,807
Colvin Sr.,	6,041,123
Levy et al.	6,212,633
Ganesan	5,535,276
Van Oorschot	6,134,327
Chen et al.	6,061,796

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell, can be reached on (703) 306-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (703)

Art Unit: 2137

Page 5

872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

9/24/04

Pad Callaha